arXiv:1405.1799v2 [math.PR] 16 Sep 2015

HURWITZ-LERCH ZETA AND HURWITZ-LERCH TYPE OF EULER-ZAGIER DOUBLE ZETA DISTRIBUTIONS

TAKASHI NAKAMURA

Abstract. In this paper, we give Hurwitz-Lerch zeta distributions with $0 < \sigma \neq 1$ by using the Gamma function. Moreover, we define Hurwitz-Lerch type of Euler-Zagier double zeta distributions not only in the region of absolute convergence but also the outside of the region of absolute convergence.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Zeta functions.** First, we introduce the following function.

Definition 1.1 (see [3, p. 53, (1)]). *For $0 < a \leq 1$, $s, z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $0 < |z| \leq 1$, the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function $\Phi(s, a, z)$ is defined by*

$$\Phi(s, a, z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(n+a)^s}, \qquad s := \sigma + \mathrm{i}t, \quad \sigma > 1, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.1}$$

The Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ and the Hurwitz zeta function $\zeta(s, a)$ are expressed as $\Phi(s, 1, 1)$ and $\Phi(s, a, 1)$, respectively. The Dirichlet series of $\Phi(s, a, z)$ converges absolutely in the right half-plane $\sigma > 1$ and uniformly in each compact subset of this half-plane. The function $\Phi(s, a, z)$ with $z \neq 1$ is analytically continuable to the whole complex plane. However, $\zeta(s, a)$ is analytic for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ except for a simple pole at $s = 1$ with residue 1. On the other hand, Lerch showed that

$$\left[\frac{d}{ds}\zeta(s, a)\right]_{s=0} = \log \Gamma(a) - \frac{1}{2}\log(2\pi).$$

Hence the gamma function $\Gamma(a)$ can be written by the Hurwitz zeta function.

Next we define the following double zeta function as a double sum and two variable version of $\Phi(s, a, z)$.

Definition 1.2 (see [8, (1)]). *For $0 < a \leq 1$, $s_1, s_2, z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $0 < |z_1|, |z_2| \leq 1$, the Hurwitz-Lerch type of Euler-Zagier double zeta function $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2, a, z_1, z_2)$ is defined by*

$$\Phi_2(s_1, s_2, a, z_1, z_2) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{z_1^m}{(m+a)^{s_1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z_2^{n-1}}{(m+n+a)^{s_2}}. \tag{1.2}$$

Note that the function $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2, a, z_1, z_2)$ can be continued meromorphically to the whole space $\mathbb{C}^2$ by Komori in [8, Theorem 3.14] (see also Lemma 3.6). It should be mentioned that Atkinson [2] obtained an analytic continuation for the function $\zeta_2(s_1, s_2\,; a) :=$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60E05, 60E10, Secondary 1M32, 11M35.

Key words and phrases. Hurwitz-Lerch zeta distributions,

$\Phi_2(s_1, s_2, a, 1, 1)$ with $a = 1$ in order to study the mean square of the Riemann zeta function $\int_0^T |\zeta(1/2 + it)|^2 dt$ in 1945.

As a generalization of the double zeta functions above to $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued, Aoyama and Nakamura [1] defined the following Multidimensional Shintani zeta function $Z_S(\vec{s})$. Let $d, m, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\vec{s} \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and $(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r_{\geq 0}$. For $\lambda_{lj}, u_j > 0$, $\vec{c}_l \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where $1 \leq j \leq r$ and $1 \leq l \leq m$, and a function $\theta(n_1, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|\theta(n_1, \dots, n_r)| = O((n_1 + \cdots + n_r)^{\varepsilon})$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we define a multidimensional Shintani zeta function given by

$$Z_S(\vec{s}) := \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r = 0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta(n_1, \dots, n_r)}{\prod_{l=1}^{m} (\lambda_{l1}(n_1 + u_1) + \cdots + \lambda_{lr}(n_r + u_r))^{\langle \vec{c}_l, \vec{s} \rangle}}. \tag{1.3}$$

The series defined by (1.3) converges absolutely in the region $\min_{1 \leq l \leq m} \Re\langle \vec{c}_l, \vec{s} \rangle > r/m$. This is a multidimensional case of the Shintani multiple zeta functions, when the coefficient $\theta(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ in (1.3) is a product of Dirichlet characters, considered by Hida [5] (see also a survey [10]).

1.2. **Zeta distributions.** Let μ be a distribution (probability measure) on $\mathbb{R}^d$, namely, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(dy) = 1$. For $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the characteristic function $\widehat{\mu}(z)$ of μ is defined by $\widehat{\mu}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{\mathrm{i}\langle z, y \rangle} \mu(dy)$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product on $\mathbb{R}^d$. By the definition of characteristic function, we can see that the absolute value of characteristic function is not greater than 1 (see for example [14, Proposition 2.5]).

Recently, a class of distribution generated by the Hurwitz zeta function is introduced and studied by Hu, Iksanov, Lin, and Zakusylo [6]. Put the corresponding normalized function and a discrete one-sided random variable $X_a(\sigma)$ as follows:

$$g_{\sigma,a}(t) := \frac{\zeta(\sigma + \mathrm{i}t, a)}{\zeta(\sigma, a)}, \qquad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\sigma > 1$ and

$$\Pr\big(X_a(\sigma) = \log(n + a)\big) = \frac{(n + a)^{-\sigma}}{\zeta(\sigma, a)}, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Then $g_{\sigma,a}(t)$ is known to be a characteristic function of $-X_a(\sigma)$ (see [6, Theorem 1]). Therefore, we can define the following distribution.

Definition 1.3. *A distribution $\mu_{\sigma,a}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is said to be a Hurwitz zeta distribution with parameter (σ, a) if it has $g_{\sigma,a}(t)$ as its characteristic function.*

Put $g_\sigma(t) := \zeta(\sigma + \mathrm{i}t)/\zeta(\sigma)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $g_\sigma(t)$ is known to be a characteristic function of the Riemann zeta distribution μ_σ. Aoyama and Nakamura [1] defined the following Multidimensional Shintani zeta distribution. Let $\theta(n_1, \dots, n_r)$ be a nonnegative or nonpositive definite function and $\vec{\sigma}$ satisfy $\min_{1 \leq l \leq m} \langle \vec{c}_l, \vec{\sigma} \rangle > r/m$. Then the multidimensional Shintani zeta random variable $X_{\vec{\sigma}}$ with probability distribution on $\mathbb{R}^d$ given by

$$\Pr\Bigg(X_{\vec{\sigma}} = \Bigg(-\sum_{l=1}^{m} c_{l1} \log\big(\lambda_{l1}(n_1 + u_1) + \cdots + \lambda_{lr}(n_r + u_r)\big), \\ \dots, -\sum_{l=1}^{m} c_{ld} \log\big(\lambda_{l1}(n_1 + u_1) + \cdots + \lambda_{lr}(n_r + u_r)\big) \Bigg)\Bigg)$$

$$= \quad \frac{\theta(n_1,\dots,n_r)}{Z_S(\vec{\sigma})}\prod_{l=1}^{m}\bigl(\lambda_{l1}(n_1+u_1)+\cdots+\lambda_{lr}(n_r+u_r)\bigr)^{-\langle\vec{c}_l,\vec{\sigma}\rangle}.$$

In [1, Theorem 3], the following is proved. Let $X_{\vec{\sigma}}$ be a multidimensional Shintani zeta random variable. Then its characteristic function $g_{\vec{\sigma}}$ is given by

$$g_{\vec{\sigma}}(\vec{t}) = \frac{Z_S(\vec{\sigma}+\mathrm{i}\vec{t})}{Z_S(\vec{\sigma})}, \qquad \vec{t}\in\mathbb{R}^d.$$

They also showed the Multidimensional Shintani zeta distribution contains some fundamental probability distributions on $\mathbb{R}$ such as binomial and Poisson distributions (see [1, Example 3]).

1.3. **Aim.** Ramachandra and Sankaranarayanan showed the following inequality.

Theorem A ([13, Theorem 1])**.** *Let $1/2 \le \sigma_0 < 1$, $0 \le \theta < 2\pi$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Let l be an integer constant satisfying $l \ge 6$, y_0 be the positive solution of $e^{y_0} = 2y_0+1$, $c_2 := 2y_0(2y_0+1)^{-2}$ and $0 < c_1 < c_2$. Then for $T \ge T_0$ depending on these constants, we have*

$$\Re\bigl(e^{-\mathrm{i}\theta}\zeta(\sigma_0+\mathrm{i}t,a)\bigr) \ge \frac{c_0c_1}{1-\sigma_0}(\log t_0)^{1-\sigma_0}$$

for at least one t_0 in $T^{\varepsilon}/2 \le t_0 \le 3T/2$, where $c_0 := \cos(2\pi/l)(\log l)^{\sigma_0-1}$.

Hence for any $0 < a \le 1$ and $1/2 \le \sigma < 1$, there exists $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|\zeta(\sigma+\mathrm{i}t_0,a)| > |\zeta(\sigma,a)|. \tag{1.5}$$

Therefore, $g_{\sigma,a}(t)$ defined by (1.4) is not a characteristic function when $1/2 \le \sigma < 1$ by (1.5) and the fact that the absolute value of characteristic function is not greater than 1. Despite of this fact, Nakamura [11] proved that the following function

$$\frac{\sigma}{\zeta(\sigma)}\frac{\zeta(\sigma-\mathrm{i}t)}{\sigma-\mathrm{i}t} = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma-\mathrm{i}t}\frac{\zeta(\sigma-\mathrm{i}t)}{\zeta(\sigma)}$$

is a characteristic function for any $0 < \sigma \ne 1$. It should be mentioned that $\sigma(\sigma-\mathrm{i}t)^{-1}$ is the characteristic function of the exponential distribution with parameter $\sigma > 0$ defined by $\mu(B) := \sigma\int_{B\cap(0,\infty)} e^{-\sigma y}dy$, where B is a Borel set on $\mathbb{R}$ (see for instance [14, Example 2.14]). As an application to analytic number theory, Nakamura [11] showed that for any $C \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|C| > 10$ and $-19/2 \le \Re(C) \le 17/2$, the function $\zeta(s)+Cs$ does not vanish in the half-plane $1/18 < \sigma$.

In the present paper, we give Hurwitz-Lerch zeta distributions with $0 < \sigma \ne 1$ by using not $\sigma(\sigma-\mathrm{i}t)^{-1}$ but the normalized Gamma function $\Gamma(\sigma+\mathrm{i}t)/\Gamma(\sigma)$ (see Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2). Recall that $g_{\sigma,a}(t)$ defined by (1.4) is not a characteristic function when $1/2 \le \sigma < 1$. Next we define Hurwitz-Lerch type of Euler-Zagier double zeta distributions not only in the region of absolute convergence $\Re(s_1) > 0$, $\Re(s_2) > 1$ and $\Re(s_1+s_2) > 2$ but also outside of the region above, for example, $\Re(s_1) > 0$, $\Re(s_2) > 1$ and $1 < \Re(s_1+s_2) < 2$ in Theorems 2.4 and 2.5. It should be emphasized that this is the first two dimensional zeta distribution which can be continued to the outside of the region of absolute convergence.

2. Main results

2.1. **Hurwitz-Lerch zeta distributions.** For $\sigma > 0$, let

$$F_{\sigma,a,z}(t) := \frac{f_{\sigma,a,z}(t)}{f_{\sigma,a,z}(0)}, \qquad f_{\sigma,a,z}(t) := \Gamma(\sigma + \mathrm{i}t)\Phi(\sigma + \mathrm{i}t, a, z). \tag{2.1}$$

Then we have the following statements.

Proposition 2.1. *The function $F_{\sigma,a,z}(t)$ is a characteristic function of a probability measure for all $\sigma > 1$ if and only if $0 \neq z \in [-1, 1]$. Moreover, the associated probability measure is absolutely continuous with density function $P_{\sigma,a,z}(y)$ is given as follows:*

$$P_{\sigma,a,z}(y) := \frac{e^{y\sigma}\exp((1-a)e^y)}{f_{\sigma,a,z}(0)(\exp(e^y) - z)}, \qquad y \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.2}$$

Theorem 2.2. *We have the following.*
(1). *Let $z = 1$. Then the function $F_{\sigma,a,1}(t)$ is a characteristic function of a probability measure for all $0 < \sigma < 1$ if and only if $a \geq 1/2$. Furthermore, the associated probability measure is absolutely continuous with density functions $P_{\sigma,a,1}(y)$ is given by*

$$P_{\sigma,a,1}(y) := \frac{e^{y\sigma}}{f_{\sigma,a,1}(0)}\left(\frac{\exp((1-a)e^y)}{(\exp(e^y) - 1)} - \frac{1}{e^y}\right), \qquad y \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.3}$$

(2). *Let $z \neq 1$. Then the function $F_{\sigma,a,z}(t)$ is a characteristic function of a probability measure for all $\sigma > 0$ if and only if $0 \neq z \in [-1, 1)$. The associated probability measure is absolutely continuous with density function $P_{\sigma,a,z}(y)$ is written as (2.2).*

It is widely know that the absolute value of characteristic function is not greater than 1. Therefore, we immediately obtain the following inequality (see also (3.2) and Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5).

Corollary 2.3. *Let $a \geq 1/2$. Then for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < \sigma \neq 1$, one has*

$$\big|\Gamma(\sigma + \mathrm{i}t)\zeta(\sigma + \mathrm{i}t, a)\big| \leq \Gamma(\sigma)\big|\zeta(\sigma, a)\big|.$$

If $0 \neq z \in [-1, 1)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma > 0$, it holds that

$$\big|\Gamma(\sigma + \mathrm{i}t)\Phi(\sigma + \mathrm{i}t, a, z)\big| \leq \Gamma(\sigma)\Phi(\sigma, a, z).$$

2.2. **Hurwitz-Lerch type of Euler-Zagier double zeta distributions.** For $\sigma_1 > 0$ and $\sigma_2 > 0$, let

$$F_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{t}) := \frac{f_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{t})}{f_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{0})}, \quad f_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{t}) := \Gamma(\sigma_1 + \mathrm{i}t_1)\Gamma(\sigma_2 + \mathrm{i}t_2)\Phi_2(\sigma_1 + \mathrm{i}t_1, \sigma_2 + \mathrm{i}t_2, a, z_1, z_2). \tag{2.4}$$

Note that the series expression of $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2, a, z_1, z_2)$ converges absolutely when $\Re(s_1) > 0$, $\Re(s_2) > 1$ and $\Re(s_1 + s_2) > 2$ and the function $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2, a, z_1, z_2)$ is continued analytically to the outside of the region of absolute convergent (see [12, Lemma 2.4] and [12, Lemma 2.8], respectively). Then we have the following statements.

Theorem 2.4. *The function $F_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{t})$ is a characteristic function of a probability measure for all $\sigma_1 > 0$, $\sigma_2 > 1$ and $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 2$ if and only if $0 \neq z_1, z_2 \in [-1, 1]$. Moreover, the*

associated probability measure is absolutely continuous with density function $P_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\eta,\theta)$ *is written by*

$$P_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\eta,\theta)=\frac{e^{\sigma_1\eta}e^{\sigma_2\theta}\exp((1-a)(e^{\eta}+e^{\theta}))}{f_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{0})(\exp(e^{\theta})-z_2)(\exp(e^{\eta}+e^{\theta})-z_1)},\qquad \eta,\theta\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{2.5}$$

Theorem 2.5. *We have the following.*
(1). *Let* $z_1=z_2=1$. *Then the function* $F_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{1}}(\vec{t})$ *is a characteristic function of a probability measure for all* $0<\sigma_1<1$, $\sigma_2>1$ *and* $1<\sigma_1+\sigma_2<2$ *if and only if* $a\geq 1/2$. *Furthermore, the associated probability measure is absolutely continuous with density function* $P_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{1}}(y)$ *is given as follows:*

$$P_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{1}}(\eta,\theta)=\frac{e^{\sigma_1\eta}e^{\sigma_2\theta}}{f_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{1}}(\vec{0})}\left(\frac{H(a,e^{\eta}+e^{\theta})}{\exp(e^{\theta})-1}+\frac{H(1,e^{\theta})}{e^{\eta}+e^{\theta}}\right),\qquad \eta,\theta\in\mathbb{R}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $H(a,x)$ *is defined*

$$H(a,x):=\frac{e^{(1-a)x}}{e^x-1}-\frac{1}{x}=\frac{xe^{(1-a)x}-e^x+1}{x(e^x-1)},\qquad x>0. \tag{2.7}$$

(2). *Let* $z_1=1$ *and* $z_2\neq 1$. *Then the function* $F_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{t})$ *is a characteristic function of a probability measure for all* $\sigma_1>1$ *and* $\sigma_2>0$ *if and only if* $0\neq z_2\in[-1,1)$.
(3). *Let* $z_1\neq 1$ *and* $z_2=1$. *Then the function* $F_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{t})$ *is a characteristic function of a probability measure for all* $\sigma_1>0$ *and* $\sigma_2>1$ *if and only if* $0\neq z_1\in[-1,1)$.
(4). *Let* $z_1\neq 1$ *and* $z_2\neq 1$. *Then the function* $F_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{t})$ *is a characteristic function of a probability measure for all* $\sigma_1>0$ *and* $\sigma_2>0$ *if and only if* $0\neq z_1,z_2\in[-1,1)$.
For all cases (2), (3) and (4), the associated probability measure is absolutely continuous with density function $P_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\eta,\theta)$ *is expressed as (2.5).*

We obtain the following corollary from the fact that the absolute value of characteristic function is not greater than 1 (see also Lemmas 3.7 and 3.9).

Corollary 2.6. *Suppose* $a\geq 1/2$, $\sigma_1>0$, $\sigma_2>1$ *and* $\sigma_1+\sigma_2>2$, *or* $0<\sigma_1<1$, $\sigma_2>1$ *and* $1<\sigma_1+\sigma_2<2$. *Then for all* $t_1,t_2\in\mathbb{R}$, *it holds that*

$$\left|\Gamma(\sigma_1+\mathrm{i}t_1)\Gamma(\sigma_2+\mathrm{i}t_2)\zeta_2(\sigma_1+\mathrm{i}t_1,\sigma_2+\mathrm{i}t_2\,;a)\right|\leq\Gamma(\sigma_1)\Gamma(\sigma_2)\left|\zeta_2(\sigma_1,\sigma_2\,;a)\right|.$$

Moreover, for all $t_1,t_2\in\mathbb{R}$, *one has*

$$\left|\Gamma(\sigma_1+\mathrm{i}t_1)\Gamma(\sigma_2+\mathrm{i}t_2)\Phi_2(\sigma_1+\mathrm{i}t_1,\sigma_2+\mathrm{i}t_2,a,z_1,z_2)\right|\leq\Gamma(\sigma_1)\Gamma(\sigma_2)\Phi_2(\sigma_1,\sigma_2,a,z_1,z_2)$$

$$\text{for}\quad\begin{cases}\Re(s_1)>1\ \text{and}\ \Re(s_2)>0, & z_1=1\ \text{and}\ 0\neq z_2\in[-1,1),\\ \Re(s_1)>0\ \text{and}\ \Re(s_2)>1, & 0\neq z_1\in[-1,1)\ \text{and}\ z_2=1,\\ \Re(s_1)>0\ \text{and}\ \Re(s_2)>0, & 0\neq z_1,z_2\in[-1,1).\end{cases}$$

3. Proofs

3.1. **Proofs of Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2.** In order to prove Proposition 2.1, we quote the following integral representation of $\Gamma(s)\Phi(s,a,z)$.

Lemma 3.1 (see [3, p. 53, (3)]). *When $z = 1$ and $\Re(s) > 1$, or $z \neq 1$ and $\Re(s) > 0$,*

$$\Phi(s, a, z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{s-1} e^{(1-a)x}}{e^x - z} dx. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof of Proposition 2.1. By the change of variables integration $x = e^y$ in (3.1),

$$F_{\sigma,a,z}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{e^{y(\sigma-1+\mathrm{i}t)} \exp((1-a)e^y)}{f_{\sigma,a,z}(0)(\exp(e^y) - z)} e^y dy = \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{\mathrm{i}ty} \frac{e^{y\sigma} \exp((1-a)e^y)}{f_{\sigma,a,z}(0)(\exp(e^y) - z)} dy.$$

First assume that $0 \neq z \in [-1, 1]$. Then we obviously have $e^{y\sigma} \exp((1-a)e^y)/(\exp(e^y) - z) > 0$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, it is well-known that $\Gamma(\sigma) > 0$ for all $\sigma > 0$. Furthermore, one has

$$\Phi(\sigma, a, z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{z^n}{(n+a)^\sigma} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left(\frac{z^{2n}}{(2n+a)^\sigma} - \frac{z^{2n+1}}{(2n+1+a)^\sigma} \right) > 0 \tag{3.2}$$

from $z^{2n} > |z^{2n+1}| > 0$ and $(2n+1+a)^\sigma > (2n+a)^\sigma > 0$. Hence we have $f_{\sigma,a,z}(0) > 0$. By the definition of $P_{\sigma,a,z}(y)$ given by (2.2), one has $\int_{-\infty}^\infty P_{\sigma,a,z}(y) dy = 1$ for any $\sigma > 1$. Thus $P_{\sigma,a,z}(y)$ is a probabilistic density function when $\sigma > 1$.

Next suppose $z \notin [-1, 1]$. Then there exist real numbers y_1 and y_2 such that at least one of $f_{\sigma,a,z}(0)(\exp(e^{y_1}) - z)$ and $f_{\sigma,a,z}(0)(\exp(e^{y_2}) - z)$ are not real numbers. Hence

$$\frac{e^{y\sigma} \exp((1-a)e^y)}{f_{\sigma,a,z}(0)(\exp(e^y) - z)} dy$$

is not a measure but complex signed measure. On the other hand, we have

$$\left| f_{\sigma,a,z}(0) F_{\sigma,a,z}(t) \right| \leq \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{e^{y\sigma} \exp((1-a)e^y)}{|\exp(e^y) - z|} dy \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\sigma-1} e^{(1-a)x}}{e^x - 1} dx < \infty.$$

Therefore, $F_{\sigma,a,z}$ is not a characteristic function when $z \notin [-1, 1]$ since any complex signed measure with finite total variation is uniquely determined by the Fourier transform. □

We quote following lemmas to show (1) of Theorem 2.2.

Lemma 3.2 (see [12, Lemma 2.1]). *For $0 < \sigma < 1$ we have the integral representation*

$$\Gamma(s)\zeta(s, a) = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{e^{(1-a)x}}{e^x - 1} - \frac{1}{x} \right) x^{s-1} dx = \int_0^\infty H(a, x) x^{s-1} dx. \tag{3.3}$$

Lemma 3.3 (see [12, Lemma 2.2]). *The function $H(a, x)$ defined by (2.7) is negative for all $x > 0$ if and only if $a \geq 1/2$.*

Lemma 3.4 (see [12, (2.8)]). *When $a \geq 1/2$, one has $\zeta(\sigma, a) < 0$ for any $0 < \sigma < 1$.*

Proof of (1) of Theorem 2.2. By the change of variables integration $x = e^y$ in (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\sigma,a,1}(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{e^{y(\sigma-1+\mathrm{i}t)}}{f_{\sigma,a,1}(0)} \left(\frac{\exp((1-a)e^y)}{(\exp(e^y) - 1)} - \frac{1}{e^y} \right) e^y dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{\mathrm{i}ty} \frac{e^{y\sigma}}{f_{\sigma,a,1}(0)} \left(\frac{\exp((1-a)e^y)}{(\exp(e^y) - 1)} - \frac{1}{e^y} \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $a \geq 1/2$. Then we have $\exp((1-a)e^y)/(\exp(e^y) - 1) - e^{-y} < 0$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$ by Lemma 3.3. Moreover, it holds that $\zeta(\sigma, a) < 0$ for all $0 < \sigma < 1$ from Lemma 3.4. By

the definition of $P_{\sigma,a,1}(y)$ written as (2.3), one has $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_{\sigma,a,1}(y)dy = 1$ for any $0 < \sigma < 1$. Thus $P_{\sigma,a,1}(y)$ is a probability density function when $a \geq 1/2$.

Next suppose $0 < a < 1/2$. Then there exist $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{\exp((1-a)e^{y_1})}{(\exp(e^{y_1})-1)} - \frac{1}{e^{y_1}} > 0, \qquad \frac{\exp((1-a)e^{y_2})}{(\exp(e^{y_2})-1)} - \frac{1}{e^{y_2}} < 0$$

from Lemma 3.3. Hence

$$\frac{e^{y\sigma}}{f_{\sigma,a}(0)}\left(\frac{\exp((1-a)e^{y})}{(\exp(e^{y})-1)} - \frac{1}{e^{y}}\right)dy \tag{3.4}$$

is not a measure but signed measure. From the view of [12, (2.5) and (2.6)], one has

$$\int_{-\infty}^{0}\left|\frac{\exp((1-a)e^{y})}{(\exp(e^{y})-1)} - \frac{1}{e^{y}}\right|e^{y\sigma}dy = \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{e^{(1-a)x}}{e^{x}-1} - \frac{1}{x}\right|x^{\sigma-1}dx < \infty,$$
$$\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\frac{\exp((1-a)e^{y})}{(\exp(e^{y})-1)} - \frac{1}{e^{y}}\right|e^{y\sigma}dy = \int_{1}^{\infty}\left|\frac{e^{(1-a)x}}{e^{x}-1} - \frac{1}{x}\right|x^{\sigma-1}dx < \infty.$$

Hence the signed measure (3.4) has finite total variation. It is widely known that any signed measure with finite total variation is uniquely determined by the Fourier transform. Therefore, $F_{\sigma,a,1}(t)$ is not a characteristic function when $0 < a < 1/2$. □

We use the following fact to prove (2) of of Theorem 2.2.

Lemma 3.5 (see [12, (2.11)]). *For any $\sigma > 0$, $0 < a \leq 1$ and $z \in [-1, 1)$, we have*

$$\Phi(\sigma, a, z) > 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof of (2) of Theorem 2.2. We have to mention that the integral representation (3.1) converges absolutely for $\sigma > 0$ when $z \neq 1$ from $e^x - z \neq 0$ for any $x \geq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(s,a,z)\Gamma(s)| &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{x^{\sigma-1}e^{(1-a)x}}{|e^x - z|}dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{x^{\sigma-1}e^{(1-a)x}}{|e^x - z|}dx \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{x^{\sigma-1}e^{(1-a)x}}{|1 - z|}dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{x^{\sigma-1}e^{(1-a)x}}{e^x - 1}dx < \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Hence we can show (2) of Theorem 2.2 by using (3.5), (3.6) and the method used in the proof of Proposition 2.1. □

3.2. **Proofs of Theorems 2.4 and 2.5.** We quote the following fact of the function $\Phi_2(s_1, s_2, a, z_1, z_2)$ to show Lemma 3.7.

Lemma 3.6 (see [12, Lemma 2.8]). *For $\Re(s_1) > 1$ and $\Re(s_2) > 1$, we have the integral representation*

$$\Gamma(s_1)\Gamma(s_2)\Phi_2(s_1, s_2, a, z_1, z_2) = \int_0^\infty \frac{y^{s_2-1}}{e^y - z_2}\int_0^\infty \frac{x^{s_1-1}e^{(1-a)(x+y)}}{e^{x+y} - z_1}dxdy. \tag{3.7}$$

Furthermore, we have the following:
(1). *When $z_1 = z_2 = 1$, the integral formula (3.7) holds for $\Re(s_1) > 0$, $\Re(s_2) > 1$ and $\Re(s_1 + s_2) > 2$.*
(2). *When $z_1 = 1$ and $z_2 \neq 1$, the formula (3.7) holds for $\Re(s_1) > 1$ and $\Re(s_2) > 0$.*
(3). *When $z_1 \neq 1$ and $z_2 = 1$, the formula (3.7) holds for $\Re(s_1) > 0$ and $\Re(s_2) > 1$.*
(4). *When $z_1 \neq 1$ and $z_2 \neq 1$, the formula (3.7) holds for $\Re(s_1) > 0$ and $\Re(s_2) > 0$.*

From the lemma above, we have the next properties of $\Phi_2(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, a, z_1, z_2)$.

Lemma 3.7. *One has the following:*
(1). *Let* $z_1 = z_2 = 1$. *Then* $\Phi_2(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, a, 1, 1) > 0$ *for* $\sigma_1 > 0$, $\sigma_2 > 1$ *and* $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 2$.
(2). *Let* $z_1 = 1$ *and* $0 \neq z_2 \in [-1, 1)$. *Then* $\Phi_2(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, a, 1, z_2) > 0$ *for* $\sigma_1 > 1$, $\sigma_2 > 0$.
(3). *Let* $0 \neq z_1 \in [-1, 1)$ *and* $z_2 = 1$. *Then* $\Phi_2(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, a, z_1, 1) > 0$ *for* $\sigma_1 > 0$, $\sigma_2 > 1$.
(4). *Let* $0 \neq z_1, z_2 \in [-1, 1)$. *Then* $\Phi_2(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, a, z_1, z_2) > 0$ *for* $\sigma_1 > 0$, $\sigma_2 > 0$.

Proof. We immediately obtain (1) by the series expression (2.4). Consider the case (2). The integral representation (3.7) converges absolutely when $\Re(s_1) > 1$ and $\Re(s_2) > 0$ since $e^y - z_2 \neq 0$ for any $y \geq 0$ (see (3.6)). Hence we have

$$\Gamma(\sigma_1)\Gamma(\sigma_2)\Phi_2(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, a, 1, z_2) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{y^{\sigma_2 - 1}}{e^y - z_2} \frac{x^{\sigma_1 - 1} e^{(1-a)(x+y)}}{e^{x+y} - 1} dxdy \tag{3.8}$$

when $\sigma_1 > 1$ and $\sigma_2 > 0$ from Fubini's theorem. Obviously, we have $e^{(1-a)(x+y)} > 0$, $e^y - z_2 > 0$ and $e^{x+y} - 1 \geq 0$ for any $x, y \geq 0$. Therefore we have (2). We can prove (3) and (4), similarly. □

Proof of Theorem 2.4. By the change of variables integration $x = e^\eta$ and $y = e^\theta$ in (3.7),

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{t}) &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{e^{\theta(\sigma_2 + \mathrm{i}t_2 - 1)}}{\exp(e^\theta) - 1} \frac{e^{\eta(\sigma_1 + \mathrm{i}t_1 - z_2)} \exp((1-a)(e^\eta + e^\theta))}{f_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{0})(\exp(e^\eta + e^\theta) - z_1)} e^\eta e^\theta d\eta d\theta \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{\mathrm{i}(t_1\eta + t_2\theta)} \frac{e^{\sigma_1\eta} e^{\sigma_2\theta} \exp((1-a)(e^\eta + e^\theta))}{f_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{0})(\exp(e^\theta) - z_2)(\exp(e^\eta + e^\theta) - z_1)} d\eta d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

First assume that $0 \neq z_1, z_2 \in [-1, 1]$. Then we immediately see that

$$\frac{e^{\sigma_1\eta} e^{\sigma_2\theta} \exp((1-a)(e^\eta + e^\theta))}{(\exp(e^\theta) - z_2)(\exp(e^\eta + e^\theta) - z_1)} > 0$$

for any $\eta, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, $\Phi_2(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, a, z_1, z_2) > 0$ for all $\sigma_1 > 0$, $\sigma_2 > 1$ and $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 2$ by Lemma 3.7. Hence we have $f_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{0}) > 0$. From the definition of $P_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\eta, \theta)$ given by (2.5), one has $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} P_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\eta, \theta) d\eta d\theta = 1$ for any $\sigma_1 > 0$, $\sigma_2 > 1$ and $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 2$. Thus $P_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\eta, \theta)$ is a probabilistic density function when $\sigma_1 > 0$, $\sigma_2 > 1$ and $\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 > 2$.

Next suppose that $z_1 \notin [-1, 1]$ or $z_2 \notin [-1, 1]$. Then there exist $\eta_0, \eta_2, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that at least one of $f_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{0})(\exp(e^{\theta_j}) - z_2)(\exp(e^{\eta_j} + e^{\theta_j}) - z_1)$, where $j = 1, 2$, are not real numbers. Thus

$$\frac{e^{\sigma_1\eta} e^{\sigma_2\theta} \exp((1-a)(e^\eta + e^\theta))}{f_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{0})(\exp(e^\theta) - z_2)(\exp(e^\eta + e^\theta) - z_1)} d\eta d\theta$$

is not a measure but a complex signed measure. Hence $F_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{t})$ is not a characteristic function in this case. □

Proof of (2), (3) and (4) of Theorem 2.5. Consider the case when $z_1 = 1$ and $z_2 \neq 1$. Note that the integral (3.8) converges absolutely when $\sigma_1 > 1$ and $\sigma_2 > 0$. Hence we have that $F_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{t})$ is a characteristic function when $z_1 = 1$ and $0 \neq z_2 \in [-1, 1)$ by using (2) of Lemma 3.7 and modifying the proof of Theorem 2.4. When $z_1 = 1$ and $z_2 \notin [-1, 1)$, we can see that $F_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{t})$ is not a characteristic function from the manner used in the proof of Theorem 2.4 since we can find $\eta_0, \eta_2, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that at least one

of $f_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{z}}(\vec{0})(\exp(e^{\theta_j})-z_2)(\exp(e^{\eta_j}+e^{\theta_j})-1)$, where $j=1,2$, are not real. Similarly, we can show the cases (3) and (4). □

In order to show (1) of Theorem 2.5, we quote the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.8 (see [12, (2.16)])**.** *For $0<\Re(s_1)<1$, $\Re(s_2)>1$ and $1<\Re(s_1+s_2)<2$, we have the integral representation*

$$\Gamma(s_1)\Gamma(s_2)\zeta_2(s_1,s_2\,;a)= \int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty \frac{y^{s_2-1}}{e^y-1}H(a,x+y)x^{s_1-1}dxdy+\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty \frac{x^{s_1-1}}{x+y}H(1,y)y^{s_2-1}dxdy, \tag{3.9}$$

where $H(a,x)$ is defined by (2.7).

Lemma 3.9 (see [12, (2.20)])**.** *When $a\geq 1/2$, one has $\zeta_2(\sigma_1,\sigma_2\,;a)<0$ for $0<\sigma_1<1$, $\sigma_2>1$ and $1<\sigma_1+\sigma_2<2$.*

Next we prove the following lemma to prove (1) of Theorem 2.5.

Lemma 3.10. *The function*

$$\mathcal{H}(a\,;x,y):=\frac{H(a,x+y)}{e^y-1}+\frac{H(1,y)}{x+y}$$

is negative for all $x,y>0$ if and only if $a\geq 1/2$.

Proof. First suppose $a\geq 1/2$. Then we obtain $\mathcal{H}(a\,;x,y)<0$ by using Lemma 3.3.

Secondly, let $0<a<1/2$ and $N\in\mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\lim_{y\to+0} y\mathcal{H}(a\,;Ny,y)=\frac{1}{2}-a+\frac{1}{N+1}\times\left(\frac{-1}{2}\right)$$

by using the following formula (see also [12, (2.4)])

$$H(a,x)=\frac{(1/2-a)x^2+((1-a)^2/2!-1/3!)x^3+\cdots}{x^2+x^3/2!+\cdots}.$$

Hence we obtain $\mathcal{H}(a\,;Nx,y)>0$ when y and $x=Ny$ are sufficiently small and N is sufficiently large (for example, take $N=[y^{-1/2}]$). Note that

$$h(a,x):=x(e^x-1)H(a,x)=xe^{(1-a)x}-e^x+1<0$$

when x is sufficiently large since one has $e^{1-a}<e$. Thus $\mathcal{H}(a\,;x,y)<0$ when x and y are sufficiently large. □

Proof of (1) of Theorem 2.5. By the change of variables integration $x=e^\eta$ and $y=e^\theta$ in (3.9), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
&f_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{1}}(\vec{0})F_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{1}}(\vec{t})\\
&=\int_{-\infty}^\infty\int_{-\infty}^\infty\left(\frac{H(a,e^\eta+e^\theta)}{\exp(e^\theta)-1}+\frac{H(1,e^\theta)}{e^\eta+e^\theta}\right)e^{\eta(\sigma_1+\mathrm{i}t_1-1)}e^{\theta(\sigma_2+\mathrm{i}t_2-1)}e^\eta e^\theta d\eta d\theta\\
&=\int_{-\infty}^\infty\int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{\mathrm{i}(t_1\eta+t_2\theta)}\left(\frac{H(a,e^\eta+e^\theta)}{\exp(e^\theta)-1}+\frac{H(1,e^\theta)}{e^\eta+e^\theta}\right)e^{\sigma_1\eta}e^{\sigma_2\theta}d\eta d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $a\geq 1/2$. Then we have $H(a,e^\eta+e^\theta)(\exp(e^\theta)-1)^{-1}+H(1,e^\theta)(e^\eta+e^\theta)^{-1}<0$ for any $\eta,\theta\in\mathbb{R}$ by Lemma 3.10. Furthermore, one has $\zeta(\sigma_1,\sigma_2\,;a)<0$ for all $0<\sigma_1<1$,

$\sigma_2 > 1$ and $1 < \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 < 2$ by Lemma 3.9. Obviously we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} P_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{1}}(\eta,\theta)d\eta d\theta = 1$ for any $0 < \sigma_1 < 1$, $\sigma_2 > 1$ and $1 < \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 < 2$ from the definition of $P_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{1}}(\eta,\theta)$ given by (2.6). Therefore, $P_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{1}}(\eta,\theta)$ is a probability density function when $a \geq 1/2$.

Next suppose $0 < a < 1/2$. Then there exist $\eta_1, \eta_2, \theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{H(a, e^{\eta_1} + e^{\theta_1})}{\exp(e^{\theta_1}) - 1} + \frac{H(1, e_1^{\theta})}{e^{\eta_1} + e^{\theta_1}} > 0, \qquad \frac{H(a, e^{\eta_2} + e^{\theta_2})}{\exp(e^{\theta_2}) - 1} + \frac{H(1, e^{\theta_2})}{e^{\eta_2} + e^{\theta_2}} < 0$$

by Lemma 3.10. Hence

$$\frac{e^{\sigma_1\eta}e^{\sigma_2\theta}}{f_{\vec{\sigma},a}(\vec{0})}\left(\frac{H(a, e^{\eta} + e^{\theta})}{\exp(e^{\theta}) - 1} + \frac{H(1, e^{\theta})}{e^{\eta} + e^{\theta}}\right) d\eta d\theta \tag{3.10}$$

is not a measure but signed measure. From the view of

$$\int_1^{\infty}\int_1^{\infty} \frac{y^{\sigma_2 - 1}}{e^y - 1}|H(a, x + y)|x^{\sigma_1 - 1}dxdy < \infty,$$
$$\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{\sigma_1 - 1}}{x + y}|H(1, y)|y^{\sigma_2 - 1}dxdy < \infty$$

(see the proof of [12, Proposition 2.6]), the signed measure (3.10) has finite total variation. Therefore, $F_{\vec{\sigma},a,\vec{1}}(\vec{t})$ is not a characteristic function when $0 < a < 1/2$ since any signed measure with finite total variation is uniquely determined by the Fourier transform. □

Remark 3.11. Let $1/2 < \sigma_0 < 1$ such that $\zeta_2(\sigma_0, \sigma_0\,; a) = 0$. Then

$$\frac{\Gamma(\sigma_0 + \mathrm{i}t)^2}{\Gamma(\sigma_0)^2}\frac{\zeta_2(\sigma_0 + \mathrm{i}t, \sigma_0 + \mathrm{i}t\,; a)}{\zeta_2(\sigma_0, \sigma_0\,; a)}$$

is not a characteristic function since one has $0 < \Gamma(\sigma_0) < \infty$ and $\zeta_2(\sigma_0, \sigma_0\,; a) = 0$ (see [12, Proposition 1.5]). Thus we need the non-existence of real zeros of zeta functions in oder to define zeta distributions.

References

[1] T. Aoyama and T. Nakamura, *Multidimensional Shintani zeta functions and zeta distributions on* $\mathbb{R}^d$, Tokyo Journal Mathematics. **36** (2013) no. 2, 521–538.

[2] F. V. Atkinson, *The mean-value of the Riemann zeta function*, Acta Math. **81** (1949), 353–376.

[3] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus , F. Oberhettinger and F. G. Tricomi, *Higher transcendental functions Vol 1*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1953).

[4] B. V. Gnedenko and A. N. Kolmogorov, *Limit Distributions for Sums of Independent Random Variables (Translated from the Russian by Kai Lai Chung)*, Addison-Wesley, 1968.

[5] H. Hida, *Elementary Theory of L-functions and Eisenstein Series*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, 26, London Math. Soc. Cambridge. 1993.

[6] C. Y. Hu, A. M. Iksanov, G. D. Lin and O. K. Zakusylo, *The Hurwitz zeta distribution*, Aust. N. Z. J. Stat. **48** (2006), 1–6.

[7] A. Ya. Khintchine, *Limit Theorems for Sums of Independent Random Variables (in Russian)*, Moscow and Leningrad, 1938.

[8] Y. Komori, *An integral representation of multiple Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions and generalized multiple Bernoulli numbers*, Q. J. Math. **61** (2010), no. 4, 437–496.

[9] G. D. Lin and C. Y. Hu, *The Riemann zeta distribution*, Bernoulli **7** (2001), 817–828.

[10] K. Matsumoto, *Analytic theory of multiple zeta-functions and its applications*, Sugaku Expositions **23** (2010), no. 2, 143–167.

[11] T. Nakamura, *A modified Riemann zeta distribution in the critical strip*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **143** (2015), no. 2, 897–905.
[12] T. Nakamura, *Real zeros of Hurwitz-Lerch zeta and Hurwitz-Lerch type of Euler-Zagier double zeta functions*, to appear in Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society (arXiv:1405.1799).
[13] K. Ramachandra and A. Sankaranarayanan, *Note on a paper by H. L. Montgomery. II:* 'Extreme values of the Riemann zeta function' [Comment. Math. Helv. **52** (1977), no. 4, 511–518]. With an appendix by the referee. Acta Arith. **58** (1991), no. 4, 299–308.
[14] K. Sato, *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 68. Cambridge University Press, 1999.

(T. Nakamura) Department of Liberal Arts, Faculty of Science and Technology, Tokyo University of Science, 2641 Yamazaki, Noda-shi, Chiba-ken, 278-8510, Japan
E-mail address: nakamuratakashi@rs.tus.ac.jp
URL: https://sites.google.com/site/takashinakamurazeta/